

Various sites in Pyrmont

Proposal Title :	Various sites in Py	rmont					
Proposal Summa	6 sites from B4 Mix	The planning proposal seeks to amend Sydney Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2012 to rezone 6 sites from B4 Mixed Use to R1 General Residential and rezone 3 sites from B4 Mixed Use to RE1 Public Recreation in Pyrmont.					
PP Number :	PP_2016_SYDNE_(002_00	Dop File No :	16/04079			
Proposal Details				+;			
Date Planning Proposal Receive	21-Mar-2016		LGA covered :	Sydney			
Region :	Metro(CBD)		RPA :	Council of th	e City of Sydney		
State Electorate :			Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal			
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning						
Location Details							
Street :	11 Bowman Street						
Suburb :	Pyrmont	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2009		
Land Parcel :	Lot 93 DP 1182885						
Street :	1A Distillery Drive						
Suburb :	Pyrmont	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2009		
Land Parcel :	Lot 92 DP 1182887						
Street :	1 Distillery Drive						
Suburb :	Pyrmont	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2009		
Land Parcel :	Lots 1-43 SP 86684; Lot	91 DP 27021	5				
Street :	3 Distillery Drive						
Suburb :	Pyrmont	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2009		
Land Parcel :	Lot 90 DP 1186529						
Street :	15 Bowman Street						
Suburb :	Pyrmont	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2009		
Land Parcel :	Part Lot 86 DP 270215; L	ots 1-100 SP	P 86806				
Street :	25 Bowman Street						
Suburb :	Pyrmont	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2009		
Land Parcel :	Part Lots 1-132 SP 84689; Lot 83 DP 270215						
Street :	35 Bowman Street						
Suburb :	Pyrmont	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2009		
Land Parcel :	Part Lot 63 DP 270215, L	ots 1-29 SP.	76418				

Street : 5	Tambua Street				
Suburb : F	yrmont	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2009
Land Parcel : F	Part Lot 81 DP 270215	; Lots 1-107 SF	P 82306		
Street : 6	6 Bowman Street				
Suburb : F	Pyrmont	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2009
Land Parcel : F	Part Lot 26 DP 270215	; Lots 1-150 SF	° 65564		
DoP Planning Of	fficer Contact Deta	ails			
Contact Name :	wayne williamson				
Contact Number :	0292286585				
Contact Email :	wayne.williamson	@planning.nsv	w.gov.au		
RPA Contact De	tails				
Contact Name :	Ben Pechey				
Contact Number :	0292659333				
Contact Email :	bpechey@cityofs	ydney.nsw.gov	/.au		
DoP Project Mar	nager Contact Deta	ails			
Contact Name :					
Contact Number :					
Contact Email :					
_and Release Da	ata				
Growth Centre :			Release Area Name :		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy			Consistent with Strategy	2	
MDP Number :			Date of Release :		
Area of Release (H	la)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :		
No. of Lots	0		No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
Gross Floor Area :	0		No of Jobs Created :	0	
The NSW Governm Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	nent Yes				
If No, comment :	communication has not met any	and meetings lobbyists in re	nd Environment's Code of P with lobbyists has been cor elation to this proposal, nor r Department officers and lo	nplied with. Syd has the A/Direct	ney East Region tor been advised
Have there been meetings or communications w registered lobbyist					

Various sites in Pyrmont

Supporting notes	
Internal Supporting Notes :	The planning controls for the Pyrmont sites are in the Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2012 (LEP) and came into effect in December 2012.
	When Council and the Central Sydney Planning Committee (CSPC) adopted the Sydney LEP 2012 in March 2012, they resolved to prepare a planning proposal to rezone a number of sites in Pyrmont from B4 Mixed Use to R1 General Residential in response to community submissions made during the public exhibition of the draft LEP in 2011. This was to ensure the zoning reflected the existing uses which have developed.
	REZONE FROM MIXED USE TO GENERAL RESIDENTIAL Historically, Pyrmont was zoned Residential and Residential-Business under the Sydney Regional Environmental Plan 26 (SREP 26) and Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2005 (LEP 2005). These zones formed part of the overall vision for the area for a sustainable mixed use precinct. The current mixed use zoning in Sydney LEP 2012 is a translation of the previous zones.
	Despite the mixed use intent for the area under SREP 26, Pyrmont has been almost wholly developed as residential strata buildings. The mixed use zone no longer reflects the current land uses.
	REZONE FROM MIXED USE TO PUBLIC RECREATION 11 Bowman Street, 1A Distillery Drive and 3 Distillery Drive, Pyrmont are located at the western end of Bowman Street and Distillery Drive. The sites are parks owned by the Department of Planning and Environment and located within a predominantly residential area.
	The two parks were intended to be zoned for public recreation. However, the parks were zoned B4 because they had not been subdivided from the development sites. These sites have now been subdivided and this proposal intends to rezone the parks to RE1 Public Recreation to secure existing open space. These parks are an important part of the local open space network which contributes to the amenity of the Pyrmont area.
	Council is seeking delegation to carry out the Minister's plan-making functions under section 59 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (the EP&A Act). Delegation is considered appropriate as the matter is of local significance.
External Supporting Notes :	
Adequacy Assessme	nt
Statement of the ol	bjectives - s55(2)(a)
Is a statement of the o	bjectives provided? Yes
Comment :	The objective of the proposal is to ensure the zoning is consistent with the existing land uses and secures public open space.
Explanation of prov	visions provided - s55(2)(b)
Is an explanation of pr	rovisions provided? Yes
Comment :	The proposal seeks to amend the zoning map for the following sites as described below:
	 (1) 1 Distillery Drive, 15 Bowman Street, 25 Bowman Street, 35 Bowman Street, 5 Tambua Street and 66 Bowman Street. Amend the land use zoning map to change the zone from B4 Mixed Use to R1

	General Residentia	l.
		t, 1A Distillery Drive and 3 Distillery Drive. ise zoning map to change the zone from B4 Mixed Use to RE1
	Street, 25 Bowman St	along Distillery Drive adjoining sites 1 Distillery Drive, 15 Bowman treet and 5 Tambua Street. ise zoning map to change the zone from B4 Mixed Use to R1 il.
	Street and 6 - 50 Bow	ise zoning map to change the zone from B4 Mixed Use to RE1
Justification - s55 (2	2)(c)	
a) Has Council's strates	gy been agreed to by the D	Director General? No
b) S.117 directions ider		1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
* May need the Directo		7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney
Is the Director Gene	ral's agreement required?	
c) Consistent with Stan	dard Instrument (LEPs) Or	rder 2006 :
d) Which SEPPs have	the RPA identified?	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		nsistent with the relevant State Environmental Planning Policies nal Environmental Plans (REPs).
Have inconsistencies w	vith items a), b) and d) beir	ng adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	The proposal is inc	ess and Industrial Zones consistent with this Direction as it changes the zoning on the identified se to residential or public recreation.
	The proposal repre mixed uses land in the priorities for the	mentation of A Plan for Growing Sydney sents a minor inconsistency with this Direction as it seeks to rezone close proximity to the Pyrmont-Ultimo commercial core. However, e Pyrmont-Ultimo precinct will not be undermined because there are sed to the commercial core.
	significance becau	the proposed zoning changes in this proposal to be of minor se the sites have been developed as strata residential or public open ly unlikely to be redeveloped for business uses in the medium term.
		that the Secretary approve the inconsistencies with s117 Direction I.I s that they are minor inconsistencies and are justifiably inconsistent.
Mapping Provided	- s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided?	Yes	
Comment :		ded is considered adequate for the purposes of public exhibition.
Community consul	tation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consul	tation been proposed? Ye	S
Comment :	Public consultation	will be undertaken in accordance with the Gateway determination. In exhibition period of 14 days, which is considered acceptable.

PROJECT TIMELINE

Council has provided an indicative project timeline with a completion date of October 2016. The Department considers a 9 month project timeline for completion is adequate.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : December 2012

Comments in relation The Sydney LEP was gazetted in December 2012. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	A planning proposal is the best means of achieving the objective of reflecting the land uses which have developed.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	A Plan for Growing Sydney sets out the key priorities for the Central Subregion, including the retention of a commercial core in Pyrmont for long-term employment growth and supporting the land use requirements of the creative digital technology knowledge hub in Pyrmont-Ultimo.
	The proposal is inconsistent with the objectives of the Central Subregion because the proposed new residential and public recreation zones will not protect the land uses for future employment growth. However, employment uses are highly unlikely to be developed as the sites have been recently developed as strata residential. The Jacksons Landing precinct is almost wholly residential and there is unlikely to be sufficient market demand from employment uses to unwind the existing residential strata schemes. Therefore, the objectives for metropolitan and subregional planning cannot be achieved on these sites.
	During the research and preparation of this proposal it was found that four sites (2-8 Point Street, 45 Bowman Street, 3 Harris Street and 2 - 8 Mount Street Walk) previously proposed to be rezoned residential do contain commercial uses. Council's consideration of these sites concluded they should retain their B4 zoning as non-residential uses currently exist on the sites. By retaining the B4 zoning these sites will continue to support the commercial core for long term employment growth and provide the necessary flexibility for future uses.
	The proposal is consistent with several objectives of Council's Sustainable Sydney 2030 community plan.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The proposal will not adversely affect any critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.
	The proposed amendments will not result in environmental impacts that cannot be addressed through the development assessment process.

	The proposal has the public land for open		esult in social benefits in es.	ncluding securin	g existing
	unlikely that emplo	yment uses will	tive economic effects fr be able to take advantage nand for residential use	ge of the mixed u	ise zone on
Assessment Process	5				
Proposal type :	Routine		ommunity Consultation eriod :	14 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	D	elegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)					
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional st	udies, if required.				
If Other, provide reasons	3 :				
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required	:			
No internal consultation	n required				
Is the provision and fund	ling of state infrastruc	ture relevant to t	his plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :			ure needs because the s 2km from Sydney CBD a		
cuments	and the second second		and some includes	the second second	
Document File Name			DocumentType N	ame	ls Public
CouncilLetter.pdf Planning Proposal - Re	zoning of sites in Py	rmont.pdf	Proposal Coveriı Proposal	ng Letter	Yes Yes
nning Team Recom	mendation				
Preparation of the plann	ing proposal supporte	ed at this stage :	Recommended with Co	nditions	
S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and 7.1 Implementation				
Additional Information					

arious sites in Pyrmor	nt		
	1. The planning proposal be publicly exhibited for a period of not less than 14 days.		
	2. Council is not required to consult with State agencies.		
	3. A public hearing is not required.		
	4. The planning proposal is to be finalised within 9 months from the date of the gateway determination.		
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal seeks to implement changes requested by public submissions to reflect the current land uses and secure public recreation space in a densely population precinct.		
Signature:	Bhappel		
Printed Name:	Sandy Chappel Date: 7.4.16		